arXiv:1703.03405v1 [quant-ph] 9 Mar 2017

Comment on 'On the realization of quantum Fisher information'

O Olendski

Department of Applied Physics and Astronomy, University of Sharjah, P.O. Box 27272, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

E-mail: oolendski@sharjah.ac.ae

Abstract. It is shown that calculation of the momentum Fisher information of the quasi-one-dimensional hydrogen atom recently presented by A Saha, B Talukdar and S Chatterjee (2017 *Eur. J. Phys.* **38** 025103) is wrong. A correct derivation is provided and its didactical advantages and scientific significances are highlighted.

Submitted to: *Eur. J. Phys.*

Recently, a calculation of the position I_ρ and momentum I_γ Fisher informations was presented for i) a linear harmonic oscillator, ii) a quasi-one-dimensional hydrogen atom and iii) an infinite potential well [1]. By general definition [2, 3], these quantum-information measures for arbitrary one-dimensional (1D) structures are defined as

$$I_{\rho_n} = \int \rho_n(x) \left[\frac{d}{dx} \ln \rho_n(x)\right]^2 dx = \int \frac{\rho_n'(x)^2}{\rho_n(x)}\, dx \tag{1a}$$

$$I_{\gamma_n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_n(p) \left[\frac{d}{dp} \ln \gamma_n(p)\right]^2 dp = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_n'(p)^2}{\gamma_n(p)} dp, \tag{1b}$$

where a position integration is carried out over all available interval x and positive integer index n counts all possible quantum states. In these equations, $\rho_n(x)$ and $\gamma_n(p)$ are position and momentum probability density, respectively:

$$\rho_n(x) = \Psi_n^2(x) \tag{2a}$$

$$\gamma_n(p) = |\Phi_n(p)|^2, \tag{2b}$$

and corresponding waveforms $\Psi_n(x)$ and $\Phi_n(p)$ are related through the Fourier transformation:

$$\Phi_n(p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} px\right) \Psi_n(x) dx. \tag{3}$$

Both of them satisfy orthonormality conditions:

$$\int \Psi_{n'}(x)\Psi_n(x)dx \quad = \delta_{nn'} \tag{4a}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi_{n'}^*(p)\Phi_n(p)dp = \delta_{nn'}, \tag{4b}$$

where $\delta_{nn'} = \begin{cases} 1, & n = n' \\ 0, & n \neq n' \end{cases}$ is a Kronecker delta, $n, n' = 1, 2, \ldots$. Real position wave function $\Psi_n(x)$ and associated eigen energy E_n are found from the 1D Schrödinger equation:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p} \frac{d^2\Psi_n(x)}{dx^2} + V(x)\Psi_n(x) = E_n\Psi_n(x), \tag{5}$$

with m_p being a mass of the particle and $V(x)$ being an external potential.

First, we point out that for the infinite potential well of the width a the Fisher informations were calculated before [4] where the position component was evaluated directly from Eq. (1a) whereas for finding I_{γ_n} an elegant and didactically instructive method was used; namely, since for this geometry both $\Psi_n(x)$ and $\Phi_n(p)$ are real, the integrand in Eq. (1b) becomes $4[\Phi_n'(p)]^2$, and using the reciprocity between position and momentum spaces, Eq. (3), one replaces infinite p integration by the finite x one:

$$I_{\gamma_n} = 4 \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} x^2 \Psi_n^2(x) dx. \tag{6}$$

Turning to the discussion of the quasi-1D hydrogen atom, it has to be noted that a problem of the quantum motion along the whole x axis, $-\infty < x < \infty$, in the potential



Figure 1. Position wave functions $\Psi_n(x)$ where solid line denotes ground state, dotted curve – first excited level, dashed line depicts the orbital with the quantum index $n = 3$, and the dash-dotted line is for the state with $n = 4$.

$V(x) \sim -|x|^{-1}$, despite its long history, still remains (owing to the strong singularity at the origin and concomitant difficulty of matching right and left solutions at $x = 0$) a topic of debate and controversy, see, e.g., Refs. [5, 6] and literature cited therein. A situation is somewhat simplified when one confines the motion only to the right half space terminated at $x = 0$ by the infinite barrier. Accordingly, let us consider solutions of the Schrödinger equation (5) with the potential [1]

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\alpha}{x}, & x > 0 \\ \infty, & x \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

$\alpha > 0$. Upon introducing Coulomb units where energies and distances are measured in terms of $m_p\alpha^2/\hbar^2$ and $\hbar^2/(m_p\alpha)$, respectively [7], and momenta – in units of $m_p\alpha/\hbar$, one arrives at the differential equation

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2\Psi(x)}{d^2x} - \frac{1}{x}\Psi(x) - E\Psi(x) = 0, \tag{8}$$

whose general solution for the negative energies, $E = -|E|$, corresponding to the bound states, reads:

$$\Psi(x) = e^{-(2|E|)^{1/2}x}(2|E|)^{1/2}x\left[c_1 M\left(1 - \frac{1}{(2|E|)^{1/2}}, 2, (2|E|)^{1/2}x\right)\right.$$

$$+c_2 U\left(1-\frac{1}{(2|E|)^{1/2}},2,(2|E|)^{1/2}x\right)\Bigg]. \qquad (9)$$

Here, $M(a,b,x)$ and $U(a,b,x)$ are Kummer, or confluent hypergeometric, functions (we follow the notation adopted in Ref. [8]), and c_1 and c_2 are normalization constants. Physically, this mathematical solution vanishes at the origin and, since the second item in the square brackets of the right-hand side of Eq. (9) diverges at $x \to 0$ [8], it has to be neglected, $c_2 = 0$. Remaining part must decay sufficiently fast at infinity. From the properties of the Kummer function $M(a,b,x)$ [8] it follows that it is possible only when its first parameter is equal to the nonpositive integer what immediately leads to the energy spectrum coinciding with the 3D hydrogen atom [7]

$$E_n = -\frac{1}{2n^2}, \qquad (10)$$

whereas the corresponding waveform simplifies to

$$\Psi_n(x) = \frac{2x}{n^{5/2}} e^{-x/n} L_{n-1}^{(1)}\left(\frac{2x}{n}\right), \qquad (11)$$

with $L_m^{(\beta)}(x)$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, being a generalized Laguerre polynomial [8]. Fig. 1 shows waveforms of the first four levels. Didactically, a representation of the solution in the form of the Laguerre polynomials is much more advantageous compared to that of the confluent hypergeometric functions, Eq. (16) in Ref. [1]; in particular, utilizing properties of the Laguerre polynomials (see Eq. 2.19.14.18 in Ref. [9]), one instantly confirms that Eq. (11) does satisfy the orthonormality condition, Eq. (4*a*), for $n = n'$‡. Moreover, the form of solution from Eq. (11) allows an instructive calculation from Eq. (3) of the momentum waveform. For doing this, one recalls Rodrigues formula for Laguerre polynomials [8]:

$$L_m^{(\beta)}(x) = \frac{x^{-\beta}}{m!}\, e^x \frac{d^m}{dx^m}\left(e^{-x} x^{m+\beta}\right). \qquad (12)$$

Then, $\Phi_n(p)$ becomes:

$$\Phi_n(p) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{n^{1/2}}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{(1-inp)x/2} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dx^{n-1}}\left(e^{-x} x^n\right) dx. \qquad (13)$$

Successive $(n-1)$ integrations by parts simplify this to:

$$\Phi_n(p) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{2^n\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{n^{1/2}}{n!} (1-inp)^{n-1} \int_0^\infty x^n e^{-(1+inp)x/2} dx. \qquad (14)$$

An elementary deformation $z = \frac{1}{2}(1+inp)x$ of the integration contour in this equation yields ultimately:

$$\Phi_n(p) = (-1)^{n+1} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{\pi}} \frac{(1-inp)^{n-1}}{(1+inp)^{n+1}}. \qquad (15)$$

‡ Proof of Eq. (4*a*) for $n \neq n'$ is carried out in a standard way: Eq. (8) for the quantum state n is multiplied by $\Psi_{n'}$ and is subtracted from Eq. (8) for the orbital n' multiplied by Ψ_n with subsequent integration.



Figure 2. Momentum wave functions $\Phi_n(p)$ where each panel corresponds to the quantum level with the number depicted inside it. Solid (dash) lines denote real (imaginary) components. Since real (imaginary) part of $\Phi_n(p)$ is symmetric (antisymmetric) function of momentum, the dependencies for nonnegative p only are shown.

Observe that this *complex* solution is completely different from the *real* one provided by Eq. (17) from Ref. [1]. With the help of residue theorem applied to calculation of the integrals with infinite limits [10], it is elementary to check that the set from Eq. (15) does obey Eq. (4b), as expected. The dependencies of the real and imaginary parts of the waveforms $\Phi_n(p)$ on the momentum are shown in Fig. 2. It is seen that the number and amplitude of the oscillations increase for the higher quantum indices n.

Knowledge of the functions $\Psi_n(x)$ and $\Phi_n(p)$ and, accordingly, of the corresponding densities

$$\rho_n(x) = \frac{1}{n^3}\left(\frac{2x}{n}\right)^2 e^{-2x/n} L_{n-1}^{(1)}\left(\frac{2x}{n}\right)^2 \tag{16a}$$

$$\gamma_n(p) = \frac{2n}{\pi}\frac{1}{(1+n^2p^2)^2}, \tag{16b}$$

paves the way to calculating the associated Fisher informations. Dropping quite simple intermediate computations (which in the case of the position component I_{ρ_n} rely on the properties of the Laguerre polynomials [8, 9] while for its momentum counterpart

I_{γ_n} elementary properties of the integrals of the product of the power and algebraic functions are employed), the ultimate results are given as

$$I_{\rho_n} = \frac{4}{n^2} = 8|E_n| \tag{17a}$$

$$I_{\gamma_n} = 2n^2 = |E_n|^{-1}, \tag{17b}$$

what leads to the index independent product

$$I_{\rho_n} I_{\gamma_n} = 8. \tag{18}$$

Note that position Fisher information whose expression, Eq. (17a), does coincide with its counterpart from Ref. [1] is proportional to the absolute value of energy what is its general property while the momentum component is just the inverse of $|E_n|$. As a result, the product of the two informations stays the same for all levels. This n independence singles out the hydrogen atom from other two structures studied in Ref. [1] for which $I_{\rho_n} I_{\gamma_n}$ is a quadratic function of the quantum index.

References

[1] Saha A, Talukdar B and Chatterjee S 2017 *Eur. J. Phys.* **38** 025103

[2] Fisher R A 1925 *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **22** 700

[3] Frieden B R 2004 *Science from Fisher Information* (Cambridge: Cambridge)

[4] López-Rosa S, Montero J, Sánchez-Moreno P, Venegas J and Dehesa J S 2011 *J. Math. Chem.* **49** 971

[5] Palma G and Raff U 2006 *Can. J. Phys.* **84** 787

[6] Loudon R 2016 *Proc. R. Soc. A* **472** 20150534

[7] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1977 *Quantum Mechanics (Non-Relativistic Theory)* (New York: Pergamon)

[8] Abramowitz M and Stegun I A 1964 *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (New York: Dover)

[9] Prudnikov A P, Brychkov Y A and Marichev O I 1986 *Integrals and Series* vol 2 (New York: Gordon and Breach)

[10] Arfken G B, Weber H J and Harris F E 2013 *Mathematical Methods for Physicists* (New York: Academic)